

This article was downloaded by:

On: 28 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713618290>

### MONITORING THE RATE OF HYDROLYSIS OF AMINOPHOSPHONIC ACID ESTERS BY UV-VIS AND NMR-SPECTROSCOPY

G. Sievers<sup>a</sup>; G. Hägele<sup>a</sup>; S. Failla<sup>b</sup>; P. Finocchiaro<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie I; Heinrich-Heine Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany <sup>b</sup> Istituto Chimico, Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

**To cite this Article** Sievers, G. , Hägele, G. , Failla, S. and Finocchiaro, P.(1999) 'MONITORING THE RATE OF HYDROLYSIS OF AMINOPHOSPHONIC ACID ESTERS BY UV-VIS AND NMR-SPECTROSCOPY', *Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements*, 155: 1, 113 — 126

**To link to this Article:** DOI: 10.1080/10426509908044975

**URL:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426509908044975>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

## MONITORING THE RATE OF HYDROLYSIS OF AMINOPHOSPHONIC ACID ESTERS BY UV-VIS- AND NMR-SPECTROSCOPY

G. SIEVERS<sup>a</sup>, G. HÄGELE<sup>a\*</sup>, S. FAILLA<sup>b</sup> and P. FINOCCHIARO<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie I; Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf; Universitätsstr. 1; D-40225 Düsseldorf; Germany and* <sup>b</sup>*Istituto Chimico; Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, I-95125 Catania; Italy*

(Received March 04, 1999; In final form March 25, 1999)

Two aminophosphonic acid dimethylesters **1** and **3** bearing COOH functions attached to UV-VIS absorbing groups were hydrolysed by aqueous NaOH to yield the corresponding monomethylesters **2** and **4**. The rates of hydrolysis were monitored by UV-VIS methods. The type of reaction observed is of pseudo first order with rate constants  $k_1$  [ $\text{l mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ ] of  $1.92 \cdot 10^{-6}$  (**1**, 25°C),  $4.96 \cdot 10^{-5}$  (**1**, 50°C) and  $4.63 \cdot 10^{-5}$  (**3**, 50°C). Hydrolysis is sufficiently slow to enable the practically undisturbed determination of dissociation constants for 1-(4-carboxyphenyl)-1-(N-t. butyl)-aminomethylphosphonic acid dimethylester (**1**) and 1-(4-benzoic-acid)-1-(N-4-phenyl-azo-phenylene)-aminomethylphosphonic acid dimethyl ester (**3**).


**Keywords:** Aminophosphonic Acid Dimethyl- and Monomethylester; Hydrolysis; Kinetics; UV/VIS; NMR

### INTRODUCTION

Aminophosphonic acid derivatives have attracted widespread practical interests, e.g. for possessing interesting biological and agrochemical properties and being used as suitable sequestering and complexing agents for many metals including calcium<sup>1</sup>. Up to now, the most widely used calcium complexing agents belong to the class of polycarboxylic molecules, or to organic bisphosphonate compounds, analogues of the inorganic pyrophosphates, in which the oxygen linking the two phosphorus atoms has been

\* Correspondence author.

replaced by a carbon atom. The clinical interest for such bisphosphonates is connected with their potential use against several pathological conditions involving irregularities in calcium metabolism (e.g. osteoporosis, osteolysis, bone cancer)<sup>2</sup> or involving calcium deposition (e.g. arteriosclerosis, arthritis, kidney and renal calculus)<sup>3,4</sup>. However, side-effects and the lack of selectivity militate against their diffuse use<sup>2</sup>. In order to produce and test phosphonates with modified molecular structures but able to act as strong complexing agents for biorelevant metals, we recently reported on the synthesis of dimethyl esters of 1-amino-1-arylmethyl phosphonic acid containing free carboxylic groups<sup>5</sup>. Those compounds are soluble both in polar organic solvents ( $\text{CHCl}_3$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ , DMSO, alcohols) as well as in water or in slightly alkaline solutions, rendering them attractive for biological applications. In order to propose such compounds as complexing agents it is necessary to determine the corresponding stability and dissociation constants by varying the pH of the solution. Bearing in mind that alkyl esters of the phosphonates might be easily cleaved to monoester in alkaline solutions it was imperative to determine the rate of ester hydrolysis of such substrates.



SCHEME 1 Compounds 1, 3:  $\text{R}=\text{CH}_3$ ; 2, 4:  $\text{R}=\text{H}$

As model systems to establish the experimental and computing procedures compounds 1 and 3 were selected for the following reasons: the rate of ester hydrolysis can be easily monitored by UV-VIS-spectroscopy due

to the presence of the benzoic moieties in **1** and **3** resp. and the additional contribution of the phenyl-azo-chromophore in **3**. In addition practical considerations hold: The monoesters **2** and **4** originating from **1** and **3** are good candidates for showing peculiar complexing properties towards biorelevant cations, and thus vehiculating them through biological fluids.

Preliminary  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR purity checks showed, that ca. 30 min after dissolution of phosphonates **1** and **3** in  $\text{KOH}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$  two distinct resonance groups with different intensities were observed showing an intensity ratio given in **Figure 1** below:





FIGURE 1 81 MHz  $^{31}\text{P}$ -NMR-spectrum showing the resonance signals for the educt **1** and the product **2** ca. 30 min. after dissolving **1** in  $\text{KOH}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$

The dimethylester **1** is attributed to the stronger signal ① at  $\delta_{\text{P}} = 29.04$  ppm (m,  $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 11.0$  Hz) while the monomethylester **2** resonates with lower intensity at ② with  $\delta_{\text{P}} = 22.28$  ppm (q,  $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 10.8$  Hz). Corresponding data for **3** and **4** are:  $\delta_{\text{P}} = 24.97$  ppm (m,  $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 10.3$  Hz) and  $\delta_{\text{P}} = 19.01$  ppm (q,  $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 10.2$  Hz) resp.. The intensity ratio for signals **1** and **2** in 81 MHz  $^{31}\text{P}$ -NMR-spectra decays with time elapsed after dissolution indicating a slow hydrolysis in alkaline solutions.

Since our primary interest concerned the determination of dissociation constants for **1** and **3** by titration of those acidic compounds vs.  $\text{NaOH}$  it was imperative to separate the specific effects from esterolytic and protolytic equilibria, henceforth to determine the rate of hydrolysis prior to titration studies.

### Some comments on the kinetics of hydrolysis

In principle the hydrolysis of an ester is described by a second order kinetic reaction, e.g:



following the general equations:



$$-\frac{dc_A}{dt} = k_2 \cdot c_A \cdot c_B \quad (3)$$

By using a sufficiently large excess of NaOH the hydrolysis is effectively converted into a pseudo first order reaction following:

$$-\frac{dc_A}{dt} = k_1 \cdot c_A \quad (4)$$

In experiments described below the ratio of NaOH : ester **1** is set to 10:1.

In order to monitor the reaction by UV-VIS-spectroscopy eq. (4) is converted into eq. (5) correlating the concentration of the substrate with the corresponding absorbance  $A_{\lambda,t}$  via Beer's law <sup>6,7</sup>:

$$\frac{dA_{\lambda,t}}{dt} = \frac{k_1}{q} \cdot (A_{\lambda,\infty} - A_{\lambda,t}) \cdot (A_{\lambda,\infty}^1 - A_{\lambda,\infty}) \quad (5)$$

$A_{\lambda,t}$  absorbance, measured at wavelength  $\lambda$  at time  $t$

$A_{\lambda,\infty}$  absorbance, measured at wavelength  $\lambda$  at the end of reaction for  $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$A_{\lambda,\infty}^1 (C_B^0 \cdot \varepsilon_{\lambda,C} - (C_B^0 - C_A^0) \cdot \varepsilon_{\lambda,A}) \cdot d$$

$$q \quad (\varepsilon_{\lambda,C} - \varepsilon_{\lambda,B} - \varepsilon_{\lambda,A}) \cdot d \left[ \frac{\text{dm}^3}{\text{mol}} \right]$$

$c_X^0$  starting concentration of the compound X

$\varepsilon_{\lambda,X}$  extinction coefficient of the compound X at the wavelength  $\lambda \left[ \frac{\text{dm}^3}{\text{cm} \cdot \text{mol}} \right]$

$d$  length of cell [cm]

Integration of equation (5) lead to equation (6), which was used to calculate the results given in this paper.

$$\ln \left( \frac{A_{\lambda,\infty}^1 - A_{\lambda,t}}{A_{\lambda,\infty} - A_{\lambda,t}} \right) = (A_{\lambda,\infty}^1 - A_{\lambda,\infty}) \cdot \frac{k_1}{q} \cdot t \quad (6)$$

In order to eliminate errors from superimposing spectra of di- and mono-methylesters difference spectroscopy was introduced. The absorbance due to the dimethylester (first spectrum, prior to hydrolysis) was subtracted from all individual spectra obtained throughout the kinetic series. This method ensures, that the difference spectra reflect indeed the formation of the monoester.

The pseudo-three-dimensional overlay-diagrams in **Figure 2** and **Figure 3** below, which were produced by PHOTO\_T<sup>8-11</sup>, clearly demonstrate the change in absorbance due to the formation of the monoesters.




FIGURE 2 PHOTO\_T-overlay diagram for the time dependent hydrolysis of **1** leading to the monomethylester **2**. Temperature: 25°C

The total absorbance is due solely to the formation of the monoester. This is clearly established by monitoring the absorbance vs. time as shown in **Figure 4**.

## RESULTS

For the pseudo first order reactions inspected above the Swinbourne formalism [12]:

$$A_{\lambda,t+\Delta t} = A_{\lambda,\infty} \cdot (1 - e^{-k_1 \Delta t}) + A_{\lambda,t} \cdot e^{-k_1 \Delta t} \quad (7)$$

was used to calculate the kinetic data shown in **Tables I to VI**.




FIGURE 3 PHOTO\_T overlay diagram for the time dependent hydrolysis of **1** leading to the monomethylester **2**. Temperature: 50°C




FIGURE 4 Single wavelength scan at 330 nm for the hydrolysis of **3** to **4** at 50°C

TABLE I Results for compound 1. Temperature: 25°C evaluated according to Swinbourne

$\lambda$ [nm]:	266.121	271.174	281.617	286.174	296.184
gradient $S=e^{-k_1\Delta t}$	0.9973	0.9975	0.9976	0.9983	0.9978
axis segment $x = 0$	0.0072	0.0053	0.0023	0.0014	0.0138
$R^2$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9967
$A_{\lambda,\infty}$	2.6673	2.1239	0.9571	2.0580	3.2775
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ]	$8.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$2.24 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.07 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.02 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.42 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.84 \cdot 10^{-6}$
average $k_1$	$6.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>			$1.92 \cdot 10^{-6}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	
standard deviation $\sigma_{n-1}$ of $k_1$	$\pm 1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>			$\pm 3.14 \cdot 10^{-7}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	

TABLE II Results for compound 1. Temperature: 50°C evaluated according to Swinbourne

$\lambda$ [nm]:	266.121	271.174	281.617	286.174	296.184
gradient: $S=e^{-k_1\Delta t}$	0.9416	0.9418	0.9419	0.9425	0.9437
axis segment $x = 0$ :	0.1860	0.1604	0.0714	0.0544	0.0350
$R^2$	0.9870	0.9972	0.9999	1.0000	0.9999
$A_{\lambda,\infty}$	2.1043	2.3523	1.2284	0.9463	0.6225
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ]	$18.07 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$18.01 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$17.97 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$17.77 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$17.40 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$5.02 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.00 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4.99 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4.94 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4.83 \cdot 10^{-5}$
average $k_1$	$17.84 \cdot 10^{-2}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>			$4.96 \cdot 10^{-5}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	
standard deviation $\sigma_{n-1}$ of $k_1$	$\pm 2.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>			$\pm 7.55 \cdot 10^{-7}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	

It is sufficient to study the hydrolysis of **3** at one temperature only, here 50°C, and to stop monitoring after 30 h, where the dimethylester **2** is quantitatively converted into the monoester **4**. Reaction rate constants [l mol<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>] are found within a narrow expectation range:  $k_1$  of **1** (0.1784, 50°C) is very close to  $k_1$  of **3** (0.1665, 50°C). Corresponding data for constants [l mol<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] are:  $1.92 \cdot 10^{-6}$  (**1**, 25°C)  $4.96 \cdot 10^{-5}$  (**1**, 50°C) and  $4.63 \cdot 10^{-5}$  (**3**, 50°C). These results indicate, that the kinetic processes might not depend significantly on the substituent **R** attached to the NH group in the ArCH(NHR)P(O)(OR)<sub>2</sub>-structure of aminophosphonates like **1** or **3** resp..



TABLE III Results for compound 3. Temperature: 50°C evaluated according to Swinbourne

$\lambda$ [nm]:	317.848	321.178	327.836	330.332	331.996	485.109	488.402	495.810
gradient: $S=e^{-k_1 \Delta l}$	0.9685	0.9393	0.9378	0.9404	0.9413	0.9412	0.9507	0.9496
axis segment $x = 0$ :	0.0271	0.0500	0.0495	0.0473	0.0478	0.0534	0.0474	0.0408
$R^2$	0.9951	0.9979	0.9996	0.9998	0.9999	0.9999	0.9992	0.9996
$A_{\lambda, \infty}$	0.8619	0.8239	0.7960	0.7941	0.8138	0.9077	0.9602	0.8082
$k_1$ [ $\text{l mol}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ ]	$9.60 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$18.79 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$19.28 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$18.11 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$18.16 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$18.20 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$15.20 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$15.54 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$k_1$ [ $\text{l mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ ]	$2.67 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.22 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.36 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.12 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.04 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.06 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4.22 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4.32 \cdot 10^{-5}$
average $k_1$			$16.65 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$			$4.63 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$		
standard deviation $\sigma_{n-1}$ of $k_1$			$\pm 3.22 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$			$\pm 8.9 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ l mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$		

TABLE IV Results for compound **1**. Temperature, 25°C evaluated according to the integrated time law

$\lambda$ [nm]:	<b>266.121</b>	<b>271.174</b>	<b>281.617</b>	<b>286.174</b>	<b>296.184</b>
gradient $S=e^{-k_1 \Delta t}$	0.0072	0.0071	0.0067	0.0065	0.0062
axis segment $x = 0$	0.7065	0.7055	0.7075	0.7099	0.7078
$R^2$	0.9996	0.9993	0.9989	0.9968	0.9947
<b>A:B</b>	5.09	5.08	5.10	5.13	5.10
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ]	$7.20 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$7.15 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.69 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.50 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$6.23 \cdot 10^{-3}$
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$2.00 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.99 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.86 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.80 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.73 \cdot 10^{-6}$
average $k_1$	$6.75 \cdot 10^{-3}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>		$1.88 \cdot 10^{-6}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		
standard deviation $\sigma_{n-1}$ of $k_1$	$\pm 4.17 \cdot 10^{-4}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>		$\pm 1.16 \cdot 10^{-7}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		

TABLE V Results for compound **1**. Temperature: 50°C evaluated according to the integrated time law

$\lambda$ [nm]:	<b>266.121</b>	<b>271.174</b>	<b>281.617</b>	<b>286.174</b>	<b>296.184</b>
gradient $S=e^{-k_1 \Delta t}$	0.0651	0.0534	0.0464	0.0454	0.0438
axis segment $x = 0$	0.7199	0.8031	0.8038	0.7824	0.7686
$R^2$	0.9990	0.9998	0.9999	0.9999	1.0000
<b>A:B</b>	5.25	6.36	6.37	6.06	5.87
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ]	$6.51 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$5.34 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.64 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.54 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.38 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$1.81 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.48 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.29 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.26 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.22 \cdot 10^{-5}$
average $k_1$	$5.08 \cdot 10^{-2}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>		$8.79 \cdot 10^{-5}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		
standard deviation $\sigma_{n-1}$ of $k_1$	$\pm 1.41 \cdot 10^{-3}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>		$\pm 2.11 \cdot 10^{-7}$ l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		

From the kinetic data reported here it seems justified to conclude, that the rate of hydrolysis of phosphonate diesters into monoesters is low enough in alkaline solutions, at ambient temperatures, in order to allow accurate determinations of dissociation constants for **1** and **3** without the concomitant reaction to the corresponding monoesters. The dissociation constants were determined from solutions of **1** and **3** resp. in dioxane/water (1:1 v/v) by titration vs. NaOH (in dioxane/water, 1:1 v/v) at 25°C. Corresponding  $pK_a$ -data are calculated using the program ITERAX<sup>13</sup>: **1**:  $pK_a = 5.77$ , **3**:  $pK_a = 6.01$ . Those numerical values are characteristic for the protolytic equilibria of aromatic carboxylic acids.

TABLE VI Results for compound 3. Temperature, 50°C evaluated according to the integrated time law

$\lambda$ [nm]:	317.848	321.178	327.836	330.332	331.996	485.109	488.402	495.810
gradient $S=e^{-k_1 \Delta t}$	0.6628	0.6838	0.6997	0.6990	0.7018	0.7184	0.7212	0.7266
axis segment $x = 0$	0.0809	0.0753	0.0631	0.0603	0.0591	0.0425	0.0425	0.0393
$R^2$	0.9982	0.9981	0.9995	0.9997	0.9998	0.9912	0.9977	0.9954
<b>A:B</b>	4.60	4.83	5.01	5.00	5.03	5.23	5.26	5.33
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ]	8.09 10 <sup>-2</sup>	7.53 10 <sup>-2</sup>	6.31 10 <sup>-2</sup>	6.03 10 <sup>-2</sup>	5.91 10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.25 10 <sup>-2</sup>	4.25 10 <sup>-2</sup>	3.93 10 <sup>-2</sup>
$k_1$ [l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	2.25 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.09 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.75 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.67 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.64 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.18 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.18 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.09 10 <sup>-5</sup>
average $k_1$				5.79 10 <sup>-2</sup> l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>			1.61 10 <sup>-5</sup> l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	
standard deviation $\sigma_{n-1}$ of $k_1$				$\pm 1.55$ 10 <sup>-2</sup> l mol <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>			$\pm 4.31$ 10 <sup>-6</sup> l mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	

### Comparative studies using $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR

At this stage of our studies we were tempted to look into the kinetics of esterolytic reactions using proton decoupled phosphorus NMR spectra because the resonance signals are very well separated from each other. To 111.6 mg of compound **1** in 0.3 ml dioxane / water (1:1 v/v) were added 1.7 ml of a 0.09925 m solution of NaOH in dioxane/water (1:1 v/v). In intervals of 30 min repeated  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ -NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature and optimised integrals were calculated for both signals of the educt **1** (at  $\delta_{\text{p}} = 29.6$  ppm) and the product **2** (at  $\delta_{\text{p}} = 22.9$  ppm) as shown in Figures 5 and 6:

Kinetic evaluation of the NMR-intensities following the Swinbourne method

$$I_{t+\Delta t} \approx I_0 \cdot (1 - e^{-k_1 \Delta t}) + I_t \cdot e^{-k_1 \Delta t} \quad (8)$$

yielded:  $k_1 = 0.206 \text{ [l mol}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}]$  or  $k_1 = 5.72 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ [l mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}]$  resp.. The rate constant obtained by the NMR-method (at ambient temperature) is not fully consistent with UVNIS-results (25°C) but comes very close to the rate constant determined at 50°C, because of the intrinsic warming up of the NMR probe by the decoupling technique.

## Experimental

### Synthesis

Amines, aldehydes, dimethyl- and diethylphosphite, as well as solvents and all other chemicals used were high purity commercial products from Aldrich. All syntheses were performed under a dry  $\text{N}_2$  atmosphere. The Schiff base precursors were all prepared in high yield according to the procedure previously described<sup>14</sup>. Compounds **1** and **3** were synthesised by reaction between the Schiff bases and dimethylphosphite according to the following general procedure: to a stirred solution of the Schiff base precursor (0.1 mol) in a mixture of EtOH/dioxane (50 ml) were added dropwise 14 ml (0.15 mol) of  $\text{HP(O)(OMe)}_2$  and a catalytic amount of NaH. After the addition was completed, the mixture was stirred for a few hours. The solvent was then evaporated and the solid formed was filtered off.




FIGURE 5 Time dependent intensity of the decreasing signal of **1** at  $\delta_p = 29.6$  ppm




FIGURE 6 Time dependent intensity of the increasing signal of **2** at  $\delta_p = 22.9$  ppm

Compound **1**: white solid, recrystallised from dioxane/ethylacetate; m.p. 198–199°C; yield 81%.  $^1\text{H-NMR}$   $\delta$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , TMS): 1.00 (s, 9H,  $\text{CH}_3$ ); 3.55 (d,  $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 10.6$  Hz, 3H,  $\text{OCH}_3$ ); 3.82 (d,  $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 10.4$  Hz, 3H,  $\text{OCH}_3$ ); 4.30 ( $^2J_{\text{PH}} = -26.2$  Hz, 1H, CH); 7.56 (dd, 2H, ArylH) and 8.05 (d,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8$  Hz, 2H, ArylH).

Compound **3**: red-orange solid, recrystallised from dioxane; m.p. 205–207°C; yield 63%.  $^1\text{H-NMR}$   $\delta$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , TMS): 3.55 (d,  $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 10.8$  Hz, 3H,  $\text{OCH}_3$ ); 3.84 (d,  $^3J_{\text{PH}} = 10.8$  Hz, 3H,  $\text{OCH}_3$ ); 5.00 ( $^2J_{\text{PH}} = -24.8$  Hz, 1H, CH); 5.83 (br. s,  $J_{\text{NH}} = 1$  Hz, 1H, NH); 6.72 (d,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.8$  Hz, 2H, ArylH); 7.45 (m, 3H, ArylH); 7.63 (m, 2H, ArylH); 7.78 (m, 4H, ArylH) and 8.12 (d,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.8$  Hz, 2H, ArylH). Melting points were determined on a Büchi 530 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

### NMR

$^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectra were recorded in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  with  $\text{Me}_4\text{Si}$  as an internal standard using a Bruker AC-200 instrument operating at 200 MHz. For kinetic studies  $^{31}\text{P-NMR}$ -spectra were integrated using the two characteristic signals for **1** and **2** respectively.

### UV-VIS

The instrumentation is based upon principles described previously under 8–11 using the titration system TPC2000 from Schott Geräte GmbH, Hofheim, Germany, interfaced with a UV-VIS-spectrometer MCS 320 and an immersion sonde TSB from Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany. Further materials: IBM-compatible computer, EPSON-FX85-compatible printer, motor burette T100 (Schott), interface TR250 (Schott) and a stirring motor TM125 (Schott). A home made titration vessel was used. The temperature in the system was kept constant ( $\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ ) by means of a thermostat. All components and procedures were controlled by the computer program TR600 (SCHOTT).

### Sample preparation for UV-VIS

0.05 mol of **1** are dissolved in 90 ml bi-dist. water. 10 ml of a 1.0 m solution of NaCl are added as ion buffer. 0.05 mol of **3** is dissolved in a mixture of dioxane-water (1:1 v/v) to make up a total volume of 100 ml.

### Monitoring UV-VIS

In intervals of 30 (for **1**) or 20 (for **3**) minutes during a period of 100 hours spectra were recorded automatically. After the complete dissolution of **1** or **3** the first spectrum is used as reference for difference spectroscopy (see text). Then the alkaline reagent is added: for **1**: 2.50 ml, for **3**: 5.00 ml of a 0.1000 m solution of NaOH in water.

## Summary

The hydrolysis of dimethylesters derived from aminophosphonic acids bearing a carboxylic group is slow and does not interfere with the determination of dissociation constants via potentiometric titrations.

## Acknowledgements

We thank C.N.R. and the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (MURST) for financial support (PF). In addition thanks are due to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie e.V. (GH). In addition we wish to thank the DAAD for supporting (PF) a research visit to Düsseldorf.

## References

- [1] D. Redmore, *The Chemistry of P-C-N System*, in "Topics in Phosphorus Chemistry", ed. E. J. Griffith and M. Gravson, 1976, Interscience, New York, New York, **Vol. 8**, 515 (1976).
- [2] G.R. Mundy, *Bone*, **8**, 9 (1987).
- [3] R.P. Rubi, G.B. Weiss and J.W. Putney Jr., "Calcium in Biological System", Plenum Press, New York, New York, 1985.
- [4] F. Schoen, H. Harasaki, K. Kim, H. Anderson and R. Levy, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.*, **22**, 11 (1988).
- [5] S. Failla and P. Finocchiaro, *Phosphorus, Sulfur and Silicon*, **107**, 79, (1995).
- [6] H.H. Perkampus, R. Kaufmann; *Kinetische Analyse mit Hilfe der UV-VIS-Spektroskopie*; VCH Verlag Chemie, Weinheim (1991).
- [7] H. H. Perkampus; *UV-VIS-Spektroskopie und ihre Anwendungen*; Springer Verlag (1986).
- [8] a) G. Hägele, H.J. Majer und F. Macco; *GIT Fachz. Lab.* **9**, 922 (1992)  
b) H.J. Majer, R. Schulz, G. Hägele und R. Gerhards; *Tens. Surf. Det.* **31**, 74 (1994)  
c) G. Hägele and H.-J. Majer; *GIT Fachz. Lab.* **5/94**, 470 (1994)  
d) C. Arendt and G. Hägele; *Comp. Chem.* **19/3**, 263 (1995).
- [9] H.-J. Majer; *Diplomarbeit*, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf (1989).
- [10] H. J. Majer; *Dissertation*, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf (1993).
- [11] C. Arendt; *Diplomarbeit*, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf (1993).
- [12] E.S. Swinbourne; *Auswertung und Analyse kinetischer Messungen*; VCH Verlag Chemie, Weinheim (1975).
- [13] A. Bier; *Dissertation*, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf (1993).
- [14] S. Failla and P. Finocchiaro, *Phosphorus, Sulfur and Silicon*, **85**, 65 (1993).